# On Reductions of a Matrix Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation

Tihomir Valchev

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Joint work with A. Yanovski

XX<sup>th</sup> International Conference "Geometry, Integrability and Quantization" 2–7 June, 2018, Sts. Constantine and Elena, Varna

## Introduction

• Classical 1 + 1-dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnet equation (HF)

$$\mathbf{S}_t = \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}_{xx}, \qquad \mathbf{S}^2 = 1.$$

S = (S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>) is the spin vector of a one-dimensional ferromagnet.
HF has a zero curvature representation [L(λ), A(λ)] = 0 with (Lax) operators L(λ) and A(λ) of the form:

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(\lambda) &=& \mathrm{i}\partial_x - \lambda S, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \\ A(\lambda) &=& \mathrm{i}\partial_t + \frac{\mathrm{i}\lambda}{2}[S,S_x] + 2\lambda^2 S \end{array}$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and

$$S = \left( egin{array}{cc} S_3 & S_1 - \mathrm{i}S_2 \ S_1 + \mathrm{i}S_2 & -S_3 \end{array} 
ight).$$

◆□▶ ◆聞▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣

- Integrable generalizations of HF:
  - Two component system [Gerdjikov-Mikhailov-Valchev] and [Yanovski-Valchev]:

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{i} u_{1,t} + u_{1,xx} + [(\epsilon u_1 u_{1,x}^* + u_2 u_{2,x}^*) u_1]_x = 0, \qquad \epsilon^2 = 1, \\ & \mathrm{i} u_{2,t} + u_{2,xx} + [(\epsilon u_1 u_{1,x}^* + u_2 u_{2,x}^*) u_2]_x = 0 \end{split}$$

where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy the constraint:

$$\epsilon |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = 1.$$

Vector system [Golubchik-Sokolov]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_t + \left[ \left( \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T \right)_x \mathbf{u} \right]_x + 4 \left( \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{u} &= 0, \\ \mathrm{i}\mathbf{v}_t - \left[ \left( \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^T \right)_x \mathbf{v} \right]_x - 4 \left( \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{v} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

K is a constant diagonal matrix and the vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  fulfill:

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = 1.$$

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

- **Purpose of the talk:** Discussion of a new integrable matrix generalizations of HF and its hierarchy (work in progress).
- Main object of study is the system:

$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{u}_t + \left[(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}})_{\mathsf{x}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{u})_{\mathsf{x}}\right]_{\mathsf{x}} = 0,$$
  
$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{v}_t + \left[\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{v})_{\mathsf{x}} - (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}})_{\mathsf{x}}\mathbf{v}\right]_{\mathsf{x}} = 0$$

for the  $n \times m$  matrices  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$  and  $\mathbf{v}(x, t)$ .

• Pseudo-Hermitian reduction:  $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{u}^*$ .

# Matrix Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation

• Lax pair related to  $SU(m + n)/S(U(m) \times U(n))$ Consider the following L - A pair:

$$\begin{array}{lll} L(\lambda) &:= & \mathrm{i}\partial_x - \lambda S, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \\ A(\lambda) &:= & \mathrm{i}\partial_t + \lambda A_1 + \lambda^2 A_2 \end{array}$$

#### where

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{u}^T \\ \mathbf{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a}^T \\ \mathbf{b} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_2 := \frac{2r}{m+n} \mathbb{1}_{m+n} - S^2, \qquad r \le \min(m, n).$$

Above,  $\mathbf{u}(x,t)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}(x,t)$  and  $\mathbf{b}(x,t)$  are  $n \times m$  matrices.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆厘▶ ◆厘▶ ─ 厘

 Additional algebraic constraint We require that

$$S^3 = S$$

- Spectral properties of S
   The above constraint means that S is diagonalizable with eigenvalues
   0 (multiplicity m + n 2r) and ±1 (multiplicity r).
- Characteristic polynomial of ad <sub>S</sub> (technical remark) As a result of the above constraints we have:

$$\operatorname{ad} \frac{5}{5} - \operatorname{5ad} \frac{3}{5} + \operatorname{4ad} _{S} = 0.$$

This is why we can pick up

$$\operatorname{ad} \frac{-1}{s} := \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{5ad} s - \operatorname{ad} \frac{3}{s})$$

as an (right) inverse operator of  $\operatorname{ad} s$ .

 Additional algebraic constraints II Written in more detail, the constraint  $S^3 = S$  reads:

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{u}^T, \qquad \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}.$$

#### Remark

The above relations mean that

$$\left(\mathbf{u}^{T}\mathbf{v}\right)^{2} = \mathbf{u}^{T}\mathbf{v}, \qquad \left(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^{T}\right)^{2} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^{T}.$$

The two projectors have the same rank  $r \leq \min(m, n)$ .

- Special cases:
  - Assume that m < n. Then both constraints can be replaced with

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbb{1}_m.$$

In particular, if  $\mathbf{u}(x,t)$  and  $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$  are *n*-vectors we have:

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = 1.$$

▶ When *m* > *n* we can replace the constraints with

$$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{1}_n.$$

▶ For m = n (u(x, t) and v(x, t) are square matrices) either of the above special algebraic constraints lead to a trivial flow. In this case one needs the more general algebraic constraint

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{u}^T, \qquad \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}.$$

(日)

 Pseudo-Hermitian reduction conditions The Lax pair above is subject to

$$HL(-\lambda)H = L(\lambda), \qquad HA(-\lambda)H = A(\lambda),$$

for  $H = \text{diag}(-\mathbb{1}_m, \mathbb{1}_n)$ . If we impose an extra reduction

$$\mathcal{E}_{m+n}S^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_{m+n}=S,\qquad \mathcal{E}_{m+n}A_{1,2}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_{m+n}=A_{1,2}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{m+n} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n\right), \qquad \mathcal{E}_m = \operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_m\right), \\ \mathcal{E}_n &= \operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon_{m+1}, \epsilon_{m+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{m+n}\right), \quad \epsilon_j^2 = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, m+n \end{split}$$

then we immediately have

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{E}_n \mathbf{u}^* \mathcal{E}_m, \qquad \mathbf{b} = \mathcal{E}_n \mathbf{a}^* \mathcal{E}_m.$$

That reduction condition is called pseudo-Hermitian.

T. Valchev (IMI)

• The zero curvature condition  $[L(\lambda), A(\lambda)] = 0$  leads to the connections:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathrm{i} \left( \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u})_x - (\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^T)_x \mathbf{u} \right),$$
  
$$\mathbf{b} = \mathrm{i} \left( (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T)_x \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v})_x \right)$$

and the matrix system:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{i} \mathbf{u}_t + \left[ (\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^T)_x \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u})_x \right]_x &= 0, \\ \mathrm{i} \mathbf{v}_t + \left[ \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v})_x - (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T)_x \mathbf{v} \right]_x &= 0. \end{split}$$

Э

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

#### Examples

#### Example

Let us consider the case when m = 1 and  $n \ge 2$ , i.e. **u** is a n-component vector function.

Without any loss of generality we can set  $\mathcal{E}_1 = 1$  and assume that at least one diagonal entry of  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is 1. Then generalized HF acquires the following form:

$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u}_{xx} + \left(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}_x^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_n\mathbf{u}\right)_x = 0$$

where u must satisfy

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathcal{E}_n \mathbf{u}^* = 1.$$

That relation represents geometrically a sphere embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  provided  $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathbb{1}_n$  and a hyperboloid in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  otherwise.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

## Integrable Hierarchy and Recursion Operators

 General flow Lax pair Let us consider the following L-A pair:

$$L(\lambda) := i\partial_x - \lambda S,$$
  
 $A(\lambda) := i\partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^j A_j, \qquad N \ge 2.$ 

Recurrence relations
 The condition [L(λ), A(λ)] = 0 gives rise to:

$$\begin{split} & [S, A_N] = 0, \\ & \dots \\ & i\partial_x A_k - [S, A_{k-1}] = 0, \qquad k = 2, \dots, N, \\ & \dots \\ & \partial_x A_1 + \partial_t S = 0. \end{split}$$

→ Ξ

Splitting of the coefficients

In order to resolve the recurrence relations we apply the following "adapted"splitting

$$A_j = A_j^{\mathrm{a}} + A_j^{\mathrm{d}}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N$$

of the coefficients of  $A(\lambda)$ , i.e. a splitting such that

$$[S, A_j^{\mathrm{d}}] = 0$$

It is easily seen that

$$A_N^{\mathrm{a}} = 0.$$

Taking into account the constraint  $S^3 = S$  one picks up

$$A_N = \begin{cases} c_N S, & N \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ c_N S_1, & N \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}, \qquad c_N \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$S_1 = S^2 - \frac{2r}{m+n} \mathbb{1}_{m+n}, \quad r \leq \min(m, n).$$

• Resolving the recurrence relations through generating operators

$$A_{j-1}^{\mathrm{a}} = \begin{cases} \Lambda A_{j}^{\mathrm{a}} + \mathrm{i} c_{j} \mathrm{ad} S^{-1} S_{1,x}, & j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Lambda A_{j}^{\mathrm{a}} + \mathrm{i} c_{j} \mathrm{ad} S^{-1} S_{x}, & j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}, \qquad c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &:= \operatorname{iad}_{S}^{-1} \left\{ \partial_{x}(.)^{\mathrm{a}} - \frac{S_{x}}{2r} \partial_{x}^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \, \left[ S(\partial_{x}(.))^{\mathrm{d}} \right] \\ &- \frac{(m+n)S_{1,x}}{2r(m+n-2r)} \partial_{x}^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \, \left[ S_{1}(\partial_{x}(.))^{\mathrm{d}} \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

The symbol  $\partial_x^{-1}$  stand for the (formal) right inverse operators of  $\partial_x$  and

$$\operatorname{ad}_{S}^{-1} := \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{5ad}_{S} - \operatorname{ad}_{S}^{3}).$$

The operator  $\Lambda^2$  is called recursion (generating) operator.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

• Description of the integrable hierarchy An arbitrary member of the integrable hierarchy can be written down as follows:

$$\operatorname{iad}_{S}^{-1}S_{t} + \sum_{k} c_{2k} \Lambda^{2k} S_{1} + \sum_{k} c_{2k-1} \Lambda^{2k-1} S = 0.$$

where we have extended the action of  $\Lambda$  on the S-commuting part by requiring

$$\Lambda S := \operatorname{iad}_{S}^{-1}S_{x}, \qquad \Lambda S_{1} := \operatorname{iad}_{S}^{-1}S_{1,x}.$$

► < Ξ ►</p>

## **Recursion Operators**

• Gürses-Karasu-Sokolov method An alternative approach to find recursion operators is Gürses-Karasu-Sokolov method. In order to see how it works, let us consider the (original) Lax representations:

$$iL_{\tau} = [L, \tilde{V}],$$
  
 $iL_t = [L, V]$ 

where

$$L(\lambda) = \mathrm{i}\partial_x - \lambda S, \qquad S = \left( egin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathbf{u}^T \ \mathbf{v} & 0 \end{array} 
ight), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$V(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda^k A_k(x,t), \qquad ilde{V}(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+2} \lambda^k ilde{A}_k(x,t)$$

are two adjacent flows with evolution parameters  $t_{and} \tau$  respectively.

 Interrelation between V and V
 Due to the condition

$$HV(-\lambda)H = V(\lambda), \qquad H\tilde{V}(-\lambda)H = \tilde{V}(\lambda), \qquad H = \operatorname{diag}(-\mathbb{1}_m, \mathbb{1}_n),$$

the flows V and  $\tilde{V}$  are interrelated in the following way:

$$\tilde{V}(x,t,\lambda) = \lambda^2 V(x,t,\lambda) + B(x,t,\lambda).$$

 Recurrence relations of Lax representation After substituting the above relations in the Lax representation, we obtain:

$$\mathrm{i}L_{\tau}=\mathrm{i}\lambda^{2}L_{t}+[L,B].$$

The remainder B is sought in the form (this implies N = 2):

$$B(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda^2 B_2(x, t) + \lambda B_1(x, t).$$

After substituting the explicit expression for B, we get the recurrence relations:

$$i\partial_t S + [S, B_2] = 0,$$
  

$$i\partial_x B_2 - [S, B_1] = 0,$$
  

$$\partial_\tau S + \partial_x B_1 = 0$$

which are resolved to give

$$S_{\tau} = \operatorname{ad}_{S} \Lambda^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{S}^{-1} S_{t}.$$

Since we may also define the recursion operator  ${\mathcal R}$  as

$$S_{\tau} = \Re S_t,$$

we immediately see that

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{ad}_{S} \Lambda^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{S}^{-1}.$$

ヘロト ヘロト ヘロト ヘロト

## Conclusion

- We have introduced a matrix system containing all the known models generalizing the classical HF. As a particular case we have a pseudo-Hermitian reduction (not a complete description of all admissible reductions).
- We have demonstrated how one can describe an integrable hierarchy of a matrix HF in terms of recursion operators. This is **not** the most general hierarchy related to it however.
- We have applied the Gürses-Karasu-Sokolov method to construct recursion operators and compared them with those obtained in the analysis of recurrence relations of the zero curvature condition.

#### References

- Borovik A. E. and Popkov V. Yu., Completely Integrable Spin-1 Chains, Sov. Phys. JETPH 71 (1990) 177–185.
- Fordy A. and Kulish P., Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations and Simple Lie Algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 89 (1983) 427-443.
- Golubchik I. Z. and Sokolov V. V., Multicomponent Generalization of the Hierarchy of the Landau-Lifshitz Equation, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 124 n. 1 (2000) 909–917.
- Gürses M., Karasu A. and Sokolov V., On Construction of Recursion Operators from Lax Representation. J. Math. Phys. 40 (1999) 6473–6490.

- Gerdjikov V., Mikhailov A. and Valchev T., Reductions of Integrable Equations on A.III-type Symmetric Spaces, J. Physics A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 434015.
- Valchev T. and Yanovski A. B., New Reductions of a Matrix Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation, *Pliska Stud. Math.*, 29 (2018) 179–188, arXiv: 1802.03591v1 [nlin.Sl].

## Appendix

Let us consider the Lax pair:

$$L(\lambda) = i\partial_x - \lambda S_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}S_{-1},$$
$$A(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \sum_{k=-2,\dots,2} \lambda^k A_k$$

#### where

$$S_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{u}^{T} \\ \mathbf{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K_{m} \mathbf{u}^{T} K_{n} \\ K_{n} \mathbf{v} K_{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

are defined for some  $n \times m$  matrices  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$  and  $\mathbf{v}(x, t) = \mathcal{E}_n \mathbf{u}^* \mathcal{E}_m$ . Moreover, we have

The above Lax pair is subject to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  reduction

$$HL(-\lambda)H = L(\lambda), \qquad HA(-\lambda)H = A(\lambda),$$
  

$$KL(1/\lambda)K = L(\lambda), \qquad KA(1/\lambda)K = A(\lambda)$$

where  $H = \text{diag}(-\mathbb{1}_m, \mathbb{1}_n)$  and  $K = \text{diag}(K_m, K_n)$ . We impose the constraint:

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{u}^T.$$

For the condition [L, A] = 0 to lead to a local equation it is necessary and sufficient m = 1. Then in the pseudo-Hermitian case the equation reads:

$$\mathbf{u} + [(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_n)_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}]_{\mathbf{x}} + 4(\mathbf{u}^{\dagger}\mathcal{K}_n\mathcal{E}_n\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} = 0.$$

Constraint:

$$\mathbf{u}^{\dagger} \mathcal{E}_n \mathbf{u} = 1.$$